Quench levels at 450 GeV

- Recall briefly LHC project report 44: "Quench levels and transient beam losses in LHC magnets" J.B. Jeanneret, D. Leroy, L. Oberli, T. Trenkler
 - In particular fast losses at 450 GeV
- Single proton impacting beam screen
- Hadronic/electromagnetic shower developing with an effective length of something like 1 m. with almost all incident energy converted to heat.
- CASIM simulations
 - Incident proton on beam screen with grazing angle x' = 0.24 mrad in horizontal plane

Radial dependence

- Estimate peak energy deposition in the cable
- Beyond the beam screen and the vacuum chamber the conductor closest to the impact point receives the maximum energy density
- For fast beam losses the Quench limit occurs at the edge of the cable facing the beam
- Maximum energy deposited per proton at that radial position is call ϵ_{peak}



Longitudinal dependence

- Calculate peak and radial energy densities for:
 - local losses
 - distributed losses
- Calculate the energy density ε_{dist} per proton per metre related to a longitudinally distributed loss of protons in the most exposed cable

$$\mathcal{E}_{dist} = e_{peak} \times L_{eff}$$



• L_{eff} at 450 GeV = 1 m. \Rightarrow

$$\varepsilon_{peak,local} = 0.24 \text{ GeV} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} = 3.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Jcm}^{-3}$$
$$\varepsilon_{peak,dist} = 0.24 \text{ GeV} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} = 3.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$$

Quench

 Time duration of losses fast compare with thermal diffusion times, number of protons required to induce a quench is:

$$n_q = \frac{\Delta Q_c}{\varepsilon}$$

- Where ΔQ_c is the amount of heat per unit volume needed to raise the temperature to its critical value T_c
- Specific heat goes as:

$$c_v = c(T) = 10^{-3} \varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{6.8}{\varepsilon} \right) + 43.8 \right] T^3 + (97.4 + 69.8 \cdot B) T \quad [mJ/cm^3 \cdot K]$$

- Use volumetric specific enthalpy integral of the specific heat with respect to temperature
- Heat input needed to go from one temperature to another difference in enthalpy between the two temperatures
- At 450 GeV with B = 0.56T, T_q =9 K,

$$\Delta H_{wire} = 38 \text{ mJcm}^{-3}$$

• For very short loss duration, no temperature equalisation:

$$\Delta Q_{critical} = \Delta H_w$$
 and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{peak}$

- Local loss of 1.0×10⁹ protons
- or distributed loss dN/ds of 1.0×10⁹ protons/m
- JB assumes distributed loss with angle of incidence ≈ betatron angle



Quenchin'

 \mathbf{a}

Issues

- Dangers whether to quench or not?
 - Even straight in, JBJ reckons destruction is 5.3 nominal bunches at 450 GeV (Further checks suggested)
 - Thermal stress?
- How?
 - We have the strength to stick the beam straight into a dipole

 $\delta_{\rm max}^{450} \approx 1.26 \,{\rm mrad}$

- However a 3-bump is probably more judicious
- Where?
 - Horizontal
 - Beam losses inevitably near QF (max beta. max dispersion), with aperture limited by beam screen

Issues

- What?
 - Quad or dipole? Both?
- How many quenches?
- Requirements

• Simulations. Verification of simulations.



Quenchin'